EXHIBIT C

FIRM RESUME
SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

.

SCOTT + SCOTT

FIRM RESUME

www.scott-scott.com

Scott+Scott specializes in the investigation and prosecution of complex actions across the globe—recovering billions for its clients. The Firm has extensive experience litigating securities fraud, antitrust, consumer and other complex cases and is a pioneer in structured finance monitoring for client portfolios. We represent individual, institutional, and multinational clients in the United States, United Kingdom, and European courts, offering a one-stop shop for international recoupment.

THE FIRM

Scott+Scott was founded in 1975 and began its securities litigation practice in 1997. The Firm has since grown into one of the most respected U.S.-based law firms specializing in the investigation and prosecution of complex securities, antitrust and other commercial actions in both the United States and Europe. Today, the Firm is comprised of more than 200 team members, including more than 140 attorneys supported by a seasoned staff of paralegals, IT and document management professionals, financial analysts, and in-house investigators.

Scott+Scott's largest offices are in New York, N.Y. and San Diego, C.A., with additional U.S. offices located in Connecticut, Delaware, Nebraska, Ohio, Texas, and Virginia. The Firm's European offices are currently located in London, Amsterdam, and Berlin and the Firm's Canadian office is located in Montreal.

Scott+Scott has extensive experience litigating cases on behalf of our institutional and individual clients throughout the United States, having served as court-appointed lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities, antitrust, and consumer class actions, as well derivative and other complex proceedings, in both state and federal courts. The Firm also represents large investors and numerous corporations in commercial and other litigation in courts within the European Union (EU) and the United Kingdom.

Scott+Scott's attorneys are recognized experts and leaders in complex litigation and corporate governance. They have been regular speakers on CLE panels as well as at institutional investor educational conferences around the world and before boards of directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments. Scott+Scott attorneys educate institutional investors and governmental entities on the importance of fulfilling fiduciary obligations through the adoption of appropriate asset recovery services, as well as through the development and enforcement of corporate governance initiatives. The Firm's vast experience in structured debt financial litigation has also enabled us to provide clients with in-depth monitoring of their structured finance products, which often come with substantial undisclosed risks due to investors' limited ability to assess what they are acquiring. The Firm also has experience evaluating and monitoring for our clients' debt and debentures originating from private placements and non-public companies, including municipal bonds and derivatives.

CONSUMER PRACTICE GROUP

Scott+Scott's Consumer Practice Group consists of some of the premier advocates in the area of consumer protection and has litigated and secured some of the most significant consumer protection settlements on behalf of its clients, resulting in hundreds of millions of dollars in compensation to class members. The Firm's Consumer Practice Group has attorneys dedicated to three primary areas: Data Breach/Data Privacy Litigation, Insurance and Pharmaceutical Litigation, and Consumer Protection Litigation.

DATA BREACH/DATA PRIVACY

Scott+Scott has extensive experience litigating data privacy and data breach class actions, advancing cutting-edge legal theories. The Firm has achieved some of the largest recoveries in this area and currently serves in a leadership capacity in a number of data privacy and data breach class actions, including:

- *Lopez v. Apple Inc.*, No. 4:19-cv-04577 (N.D. Cal.) ($95 million settlement on behalf of class of consumers and their minor children alleging privacy violations by Apple through its Siri application);

- *In re Google Assistant Privacy Litig.*, No. 5:19-cv-04286 (N.D. Cal.) (preliminary approval, $68 million settlement on behalf certified class of consumers alleging privacy violations whereby Google Assistant records and discloses their private confidential communications without consent);

- *Anaya v. Cencora, Inc.*, No. 2:24-cv-02961 (E.D. Pa.) (co-lead counsel; $40 million settlement arising out of claims on behalf of consumers arising out of data breach of personal health information);

- *In re AT&T, Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 3114 (N.D. Tex.) (PSC member; preliminary approval of $149 million settlement of a data breach case on behalf of customers of AT&T's wireless, internet, satellite, and cable services whose personally identifying information was compromised);

- *In re Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 1:17-md-02800 (N.D. Ga.) ($32.5 million settlement on behalf of class of financial institutions injured as a result of the 2017 Equifax data breach that exposed the personal and financial information of approximately 150 million U.S. consumers);

- *First Choice Fed. Credit Union v. The Wendy's Co.*, No. 2:16-cv-00506, (W.D. Pa.) ($50 million settlement on behalf of class of financial institutions arising out of data breach of payment card information);
- *Baker v. ParkMobile, LLC*, No. 1:21-cv-02182 (N.D. Ga.) ($32.8 settlement on behalf of class of consumers impacted by data breach);
- *In re TikTok, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation,* No. 1:20-cv-04699 (N.D. Ill.) ($92 million settlement on behalf of consumers alleging violations of privacy claims); and
- *In re Yale New Haven Health Services Corp. Data Breach Litig.*, No. 3:25-cv-00609 (D. Conn.) (preliminary approval of $18 million settlement of a data breach concerning the compromise of over 5 million individuals' private information).

The Firm currently serves in leadership positions in a number of data privacy and data breach litigation, including:

- *In re MoveIT Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:23-md-0383 (D. Mass.) (Discovery Committee; claims on behalf of consumers arising out of data breach);
- *In re Consumer Vehicle Driving Data Tracking Litigation*, No. 1:24-MD-3115 (N.D. Ga.) (co-lead counsel; class action on behalf of consumers alleging that their driving data was unlawfully collected);
- *Doe v. Google LLC*, No. 3:23-cv-2431 (N.D. Cal.) (co-lead counsel; class action lawsuit alleging that Google's tracking code and technologies intercepted PHI from patients' communications with their health care providers);
- *Doe v. Shady Grove Reproductive Science Center, P.C.*, No. 8:24-cv-2368 (D. Md.) (co-lead counsel; class action on behalf of thousands of patients, alleging that fertility clinic knowingly and intentionally disclosed their private communications to third parties);
- *First Choice Federal Credit Union v. Slim CD, Inc.*, (W.D. Pa.) (co-lead counsel on behalf of class of financial institutions arising out of data breach of payment card data);
- *In re Zillow Group, Inc. Session Replay Software Litig.*, No. 22-cv-01282 (W.D. Wash.) (court-appointed co-lead counsel; class action on behalf of users alleging that Zillow and Microsoft engaged in illegal wiretapping of electronic communications on Zillow's website and subpages); and

- *In re Change Healthcare, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 24-3108 (D. Minn.) (PSC committee member; claims on behalf of consumers arising out of data breach of healthcare information services provider).

Additional data privacy and data breach settlements achieved by Scott+Scott for its clients include:

- *In re Fortra File Transfer Software Data Security Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 24-03090 (S.D. Fla.) (track lead; $20 million settlement on behalf of a class of consumers arising out of data breach involving file transfer software services);
- *The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 2583 (N.D. Ga.) (co-lead counsel; $27.25 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach and theft of the personal and financial information of over forty million credit and debit card holders);
- *In re Shields Healthcare Group, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, No. 1:22-cv-10901 (D. Mass) (member of Plaintiffs' Interim Executive Committee; $15.35 million settlement of a lawsuit involving claims on behalf of consumers concerning a data breach of personal information).
- *In re Arkansas Fed. Credit Union v. Hudson's Bay Co*., No. 1:19-cv-4492 (S.D.N.Y.) (lead counsel, $5.2 million settlement on behalf of consumers involving data breach of payment card information). In granting final approval of the settlement, the Court acknowledged that Scott+Scott's attorneys "have extensive experience in litigating data breach class actions in federal courts" and commended Scott+Scott for a "job well done";
- *In re Target Corp. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.) ($59 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions injured by theft of sensitive payment card information);
- *Greater Chautauqua Fed. Credit Union v. Kmart Corp.*, No. 1:15-cv-02228 (N.D. Ill.) (settlement valued at $13.4 million on behalf of financial institutions injured by the theft of sensitive payment card information);
- *Veridian Credit Union v. Eddie Bauer LLC*, No. 2:17-CV-00356 (W.D. Wash.) ($9.8 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions arising out of data breach of payment card information);
- *In re Overby-Seawell Company Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 23-md-03056 (N.D. Ga.) (PSC member; $6 million settlement on behalf of customers whose PII was unlawfully disclosed);

- *In re Sonic Corp. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 17-md-2087 (N.D. Ohio) (PSC member; $5.7 million settlement on behalf of Sonic customers whose sensitive debit and payment card data was exposed in a data breach); and

- *In re Arkansas Fed. Credit Union v. Hudson's Bay Co.*, No. 1:19-cv-4492 (S.D.N.Y.) (lead counsel; $5.2 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach of sensitive payment card information).

INSURANCE AND PHARMACEUTICAL

Scott+Scott represents consumers and health and welfare funds throughout the United States that have been overcharged in connection with their insurance and pharmaceutical transactions. The Firm currently serves, or has recently served, in a leadership capacity in a number of insurance and pharmaceutical class actions, representing both consumers and third-party payors, including:

- *Forth v. Walgreen Co.*, No. 1:17-cv-02246 (N.D. Ill.) ($100 million settlement on behalf of class of consumers and third-party payors alleging unlawful overcharges for medically necessary prescription drugs);
- *In re: Philips Recalled CPAP, Bi-Level PAP, and Mechanical Ventilator Products Litig*., MDL No. 3014 (E.D. Pa.) ($1.1 billion settlement reached on behalf of a class of consumers and hospital systems, as well as a class of third-party payors, that paid for defective devices);
- *In re: Chantix (Varenicline) Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, No. 22-MD-3050 (S.D.N.Y.) (preliminary approval, $44 million settlement on behalf of consumers and third-party payors arising out of purchases of prescription drugs);
- *Snyder v. The Cigna Group*, No. 3:23-cv-01451 (D. Conn.) (co-lead counsel on behalf of a putative class of insureds alleging they were wrongly denied an adequate medical claims review and thus were overcharged for medical care); and
- *State of Connecticut v. Assured Rx LLC et al.*, No. HHD-CV18-6101282-S (Connecticut Superior Court, Harford District) ($39 million trial verdict obtained against Assured Rx on behalf of the State of Connecticut alleging violations of false claims act resulting from scheme that had patients purchase compound prescription drugs through the State's healthcare plan).

The Firm's lawyers have obtained some of the largest settlements in consumer healthcare litigation, including:

- *In re Managed Care Litig.*, MDL No. 1334 (S.D. Fla.) (settlements with Aetna, CIGNA, Prudential, Health Net, Humana, and WellPoint providing monetary and injunctive benefits exceeding $1 billion); and

- *In re Prudential Ins. Co. SGLI/VGLI Contract Litig.*, MDL No. 2208 (D. Mass.) ($40 million settlement was achieved on behalf of a class of military service members and their families who had purchased insurance contracts).

CONSUMER PROTECTION

Scott+Scott has been at the forefront in prosecuting consumer protection actions against organizations engaging in unfair practices. The Firm currently serves, or has recently served, in a leadership capacity in a number of consumer protection class actions, including:

- *Kulwicki v. Aetna Life Ins. Co.*, No. 22-cv-0229 (D. Conn.) (co-lead counsel in class action alleging insureds were discriminated against under the Affordable Care Act);
- *In re Beech-Nut Nutrition Co. Baby Food Litig.*, No. 1:21-CV-00133 (N.D.N.Y.) (co-lead counsel in class action on behalf of consumers who purchased baby foods alleged to be at risk of contamination with heavy metals, such as lead and inorganic arsenic); and
- *NTech Consulting LLC v. Capital One, N.A.*, No. 3:26-cv-308 (E.D. Va.) (class action on behalf of Capital One cardholders who were denied their earned credit card rewards by Capital One).

Recently, in *Barrett v. Apple*, No. 5-20-cv-04812 (N.D. Cal.), where the Firm served as co-lead counsel and achieved a $35 million settlement on behalf of consumers who had been victims of gift card scams, Judge Davila commended Scott+Scott for the "excellent result in large part because the Settlement Fund will fully reimburse all class members for the money they lost" and remarked that Scott+Scott's experience "in handling class action cases . . . achiev[ed] an efficient yet positive result for clients." *Barrett v. Apple Inc.,* No. 5:20-cv-04812 (N.D. Cal.), Dec. 12, 2024 Transcript at 4, 26.

Additional consumer protection settlements achieved by Scott+Scott for its clients include:

- *Aquilina v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London*, No. 1:18-cv-00496 (D. Haw.) (class action settlement providing for 100% of damages on behalf of class of Hawaii homeowners who were placed into insurance excluding lava coverage and suffered devastating losses as a result of the 2018 eruption of Kilauea);
- *The Vulcan Society, Inc. v. City of New York*, No. 1:07-cv-02067 (E.D.N.Y.) ($100 million settlement and significant injunctive relief was obtained for a class of black applicants who sought to be New York City firefighters, but were denied or delayed employment due to racial discrimination);
- *In re Providian Fin. Corp. Credit Card Terms Litig.*, MDL No. 1301 (E.D. Pa.) ($105 million settlement was achieved on behalf of a class of credit card holders who were charged excessive interest and late charges on their credit cards);

- *In re Pre-Filled Propane Tank Mktg. & Sales Pracs. Litig.*, MDL No. 2086 (W.D. Mo.) ($37 million settlement obtained on behalf of class of propane purchasers who alleged defendants overcharged the class for under-filled propane tanks);

- *Murr v. Cap. One Bank (USA), N.A.*, No. 1:13-cv-01091 (E.D. Va.) ($7.3 million settlement on behalf of class of consumers who were misled into accepting purportedly 0% interest credit card offers); and

- *Gunther v. Cap. One, N.A.*, No. 2:09-cv-02966 (E.D.N.Y.) (settlement resulting in class members receiving 100% of their damages in case alleging consumers were improperly charged undeliverable mail fees).

SCOTT+SCOTT TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES

In complex litigation, the greatest discovery often lies hidden within terabytes of raw, sensitive data. Traditional review methods risk data breach, regulatory sanction, or spoliation. Scott+Scott has eliminated that risk.

Scott+Scott has built proprietary, secure, and regulatorily compliant data enclaves specifically designed for the analysis of highly sensitive data. These parallel environments allow our internal Litigation Support team and our retained external experts to perform their respective roles without ever exposing case data to external networks.

Our secure analysis environments include:

- 3rd-Party Expert Environment (financial analysis): We provide and maintain read-only access to case data for qualified external financial experts (each bound by any applicable protective order). All analysis occurs inside a closed virtual environment where external data transfer is disabled at the gateway/firewall. Within this environment, experts model economic harm, quantify damages from market manipulation, front-running, mis-selling, or other financial misconduct, and produce court-ready reports. Access is provided via encrypted VPN tunnels that are activated and de-activated per session, restricted to static IP addresses of pre-approved users. Experts never take possession of any data; they analyze it entirely within our secure enclave.

- Internal Litigation Support Environment (discovery analysis): We maintain a separate, similarly hardened environment dedicated exclusively to our internal Litigation Support team. Across securities fraud, antitrust, and consumer class action cases, our team identifies, flags, and processes data responsive to specific discovery orders, tracks chain of custody, manages privilege reviews, and prepares appropriate data productions—all without altering, downloading, or transferring the underlying client data. This environment operates under the same firewall restrictions and encrypted VPN protocols, with role-based permissions and full activity logging for evidentiary and compliance purposes.

Both environments share the same underlying infrastructure:

- Hardened, bank-grade infrastructure: Our dedicated server rackspace resides within an SSAE 18/SOC 2 certified data center operated by a leading enterprise co-location provider. This facility is used by several of the largest U.S. financial institutions for their East Coast operations and meets or exceeds all financial industry security standards for physical hardening, access control, and regulatory compliance.

- Read-only, non-destructive review across both environments: In no instance is case data copied out of these environments for analysis, downloaded, or transferred to personal devices or external systems. All work—whether discovery analysis in securities fraud, antitrust, or consumer matters by our internal team, or financial modeling by external experts—occurs inside the firewalled virtual environment.

The result: A legally defensible, chain-of-custody-secure process that allows Scott+Scott to both manage discovery obligations and quantify economic damages from market misconduct—without ever taking possession of client data in a traditional sense, and without compromising regulatory or evidentiary standards.

In all scenarios, these security models remain compliant, consistent, and auditable.

ACCOLADES

Chambers And Partners

In 2025 and 2026, Chambers and Partners ranked Scott+Scott as Band 2 in the Antitrust: Mainly Plaintiff, category in New York as well as nationwide, elevating the firm and its antitrust team for the first time to a top position for its outstanding achievements on behalf of clients. In 2026, Scott+Scott San Diego's office was also ranked for the first time in the California Antitrust: Mainly Plaintiffs category (Band 3). In addition, antitrust practice group partner Karin Garvey was ranked in Band 2 and antitrust practice group partner Robin van der Meulen was ranked in Band 3 in the Antitrust: Mainly Plaintiff, New York category. Of Counsel Patrick Coughlin was recognized in Band 2 in the California Antitrust: Mainly Plaintiff category.

Chambers and Partners' peer review describes the Firm as follows:

- "Their lawyers show an excellent understanding of legal antitrust principles and are very good at negotiating and pushing for effective and efficient discovery in large cases."
- "Their focus on efficiency and their strategic mindset sets them apart from many of their peers."

Legal 500

In 2025 and 2026, Legal 500 recognized Scott+Scott as Band 2 in Civil Litigation/Class Actions: Plaintiffs, and partners Karin Garvey as a Leading Lawyer, Robin van der Meulen as a Next Generation Partner, and Matthew Perez as a Rising Star in the same category. Partner Patrick McGahan was recognized as Connecticut Elite in White Collar.

Lawdragon

In 2025 and 2026, U.S. partners David Scott, Karin Garvey, Patrick McGahan, Sylvia Sokol and Robin van der Meulen were named among the Lawdragon 500 Leading Global Antitrust & Competition Lawyers. In 2026, antitrust practice group partners David Scott, Karin Garvey and Sylvia Sokol were named among the Lawdragon 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers. In the same year, David Scott and Sylvia Sokol were also recognized as being among Lawdragon's 500 Leading Lawyers in America.

U.S. News & World Report "Best Law Firms"

The Firm is currently ranked by U.S. News & World Report as a "Best Law Firm" in commercial litigation in the New York region.

American Antitrust Institute

The 2021 Antitrust Annual Report recognized *In re Foreign Currency Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation* as the #1 Largest Antitrust Recovery, as well as ranking the Firm #1 overall in the past decade with the largest average settlement dollar amount per settlement of $84,825,543. The firm was also recognized as #2 overall in the past decade for Lead Counsel in Class Recovery in terms of aggregate class settlements in total dollar value.

Benchmark Litigation

In 2025 and 2026, Managing Partner David Scott was recognized by Benchmark as "Litigation Star."

Global Competition Review

At the 6th Annual Global Competition Review ("GCR") Awards, Scott+Scott won for Litigation of the Year—Cartel Prosecution, which recognized the Firm's efforts in the foreign exchange settlements in the United States, a landmark case in which major banks conspired to manipulate prices paid in the $5.3 trillion-per-day foreign exchange market and settled for more than $2 billion.

Law 360 Glass Ceiling Report

Scott+Scott is recognized as one of the top law firms in the nation for female attorneys by the legal publication Law360. The Glass Ceiling Report honors firms that "are demonstrating that the industry's gender diversity goals can turn into a measurable result and boost the number of women at all levels of a law firm.[1] This selection highlights the importance Scott+Scott places on diversity and inclusion within the Firm.

Best Lawyers:

In 2026, the Firm was ranked as "Best Law Firm" by Best Lawyers. Individual attorneys at the Firm have been recognized as Best Lawyers dating back to 2013.

Center for Constitutional Rights

Scott+Scott was the recipient of the 2010 Center for Constitutional Rights' Pro Bono Social Change Award for its representation of the Vulcan Society, an association of African American firefighters, in challenging the racially discriminatory hiring practices of the New York City Fire Department.

[1] https://www.law360.com/articles/1310926; https://www.law360.com/articles/1162859/the-best-law-firms-for-female-attorney.

WORLD-CLASS ATTORNEYS

We pride ourselves on the caliber of legal talent on our team. In addition to some of the best and brightest rising stars, we have attorneys who have served with distinction in the U.S. Department of Justice, been admitted to the U.S. Supreme Court, served in OAGs at the state level, argued before the UK's CAT and High Courts, and received virtually every accolade offered in our profession.

ADMISSIONS

U.S. Admissions: United States Supreme Court; United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits; United States District Courts for the Districts of California (Northern, Southern, Eastern, and Central), Colorado, Connecticut, Florida (Northern), Illinois (Northern), Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan (Eastern and Western), Minnesota, New Jersey, New York (Northern, Southern, Eastern, and Western), Ohio (Northern and Southern), Pennsylvania (Eastern and Western), Texas (Northern, Eastern, Western, and Southern), West Virginia (Northern and Southern), Wisconsin (Eastern and Western), and the District of Columbia; and the courts of the States of Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, West Virginia, Texas, and the District of Columbia.

ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

DAVID R. SCOTT

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Managing Partner David R. Scott represents multinational corporations, hedge funds, and institutional investors in high-stakes, complex litigation, including antitrust, commercial, and securities actions.

ADMISSIONS

States of New York, Pennsylvania, and Connecticut; United States Tax Court; United States Courts of Appeal: Second, Third, and Fifth Circuits; United States District Courts: Southern District of New York, Connecticut, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Northern and Southern Districts of Texas, and Colorado

EDUCATION

New York University School of Law (LL.M. in taxation); Temple University School of Law (J.D., Moot Court Board, 1989); St. Lawrence University (B.A., *cum laude*, 1986)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Scott is the Managing Partner of Scott+Scott with offices in New York, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Virginia, Nebraska, Texas, Ohio, Montreal, London, Amsterdam, and Berlin.

In addition to managing the firm's lawyers worldwide, Mr. Scott advises some of the world's largest multinational corporations in cartel damages and other complex matters. He has been retained to design corporate policies for the global recoupment of losses, and transatlantic private enforcement programs.

He currently represents multinational companies and hedge funds in cases involving, among other things, price-fixing in the trucks, foreign exchange, high voltage power cables, cardboard, and payment card sectors.

Mr. Scott's antitrust cases in the United States have resulted in significant recoveries for victims of price-fixing cartels. Among other cases, Mr. Scott served as co-lead counsel in ***Dahl v Bain Cap. Partners***, No. 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.), an action alleging that the largest private equity firms in the United States colluded to suppress prices that shareholders received in leveraged buyouts and that the defendants recently agreed to settle for $590.5 million. He was lead counsel in Red Lion Medical

Safety v. Ohmeda, No. 06-cv-1010 (E.D. Cal.), a lawsuit alleging that Ohmeda, one of the leading manufacturers of medical anesthesia equipment in the United States, excluded independent service organizations from the market for servicing its equipment. The case was successfully resolved in settlement negotiations before trial.

Other representative matters include:

- ***In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation***, No. 12-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.), a high-stakes litigation against some of the world's largest banks centering on alleged price-fixing of foreign exchange transactions. The case settled for $2.3 billion.

- Multiple cases against Visa and Master Card filed in the United States and in the UK for individual merchants, alleging illegal manipulation of interchange fees which settled for a record setting total of $5.5 billion.

- ***In re Cattle Antitrust Litigation***, 22-md-03031 (D. Minn.), a litigation filed on behalf of cattle ranchers and futures traders alleging a conspiracy among the nation's meatpackers to suppress fed cattle prices. The case received preliminary approval of a proposed $83.5 million settlement with a single defendant (as of August 2025 pending final court approval).

- ***Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America***, 1:14-cv-07126-JMF-OTW (S.D.N.Y), a derivates case alleging seven major banks conspired to rig the ISDAfix benchmark. The case settled for $325 million.

- ***In re Micro Focus Int'l PLC Sec. Litig. and Okla. Fire Pension & Ret. Sys. v. Newell Brands Inc.***, 18-cv-01549 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Mateo Cnty.), where he served as lead counsel and secured settlements of $107.5 million and $102.5 million.

- ***In re Alphabet Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litig.***, 3:21-cv-09388 (N.D. Cal.), where he established a $310 million fund and achieved the adoption of board and executive level reforms relating to the company's mishandling of sexual harassment allegations against a senior executive (as of August 2025 pending final court approval).

- ***In re Facebook Inc. Derivative Litigation, Consol.,* C.A. No. 2018-0307-KSJM (Del. Ch.)** where he reached a historic $190 million mid-trial settlement in a long-running stockholder derivative lawsuit against Mark Zuckerberg, Sheryl Sandberg, and other current and former Meta directors and officers, including former White House Chief of Staff

Jeffrey Zients, Palantir co-founder Peter Thiel, and Andreessen Horowitz co-founder Marc Andreessen.

Mr. Scott has received widespread recognition for his antitrust and competition law work. He has also been recognized by Lawdragon as being among the 500 Leading Global Antitrust & Competition Lawyers, Leading Lawyers in America, Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers and Leading Global Plaintiff Laywers (2025 / 2026). He has been elected to Who's Who Legal: Competition 2015-2024, which lists the world's top antitrust and competition law lawyers, selected based on comprehensive, independent survey work with both general counsel and lawyers in private practice around the world. He has also received "highly recommended" as well as "Litigation Star" ratings by Benchmark Litigation for each of the years 2013 to 2025. Mr. Scott is continually recognized in the U.S. by Best Lawyers and Super Lawyers.

In addition to his extensive competition law work, Mr. Scott has also taken the lead in bringing claims on behalf of institutional investors, such as sovereign wealth funds, corporate pension schemes, and public employee retirement funds. For example, he has been retained to pursue losses against mortgaged-backed securities trustees for failing to protect investors. He also represented a consortium of regional banks in litigation relating to toxic auction rate securities ("ARS") and obtained a sizable recovery for the banks in a confidential settlement. This case represents one of the few ARS cases in the country to be successfully resolved in favor of the plaintiffs.

Mr. Scott is frequently quoted in the press, including in publications such as The Financial Times, The Economist, Bloomberg, The Daily Telegraph, The Wall Street Journal, and Law360. He is regularly invited to speak at conferences around the world and before Boards of Directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments.

JOSEPH P. GUGLIELMO

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Joseph P. Guglielmo represents clients in consumer, antitrust and privacy litigation in federal and state courts throughout the United States.

ADMISSIONS

States of New York and Massachusetts; District of Columbia; United States Courts of Appeal: First, Second, Third, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits; United States District Courts: Southern, Eastern and Northern Districts of New York, Districts of Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Colorado, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern District of Wisconsin; United States Supreme Court

EDUCATION

Catholic University of America (J.D., 1995; B.A., *cum laude*, 1992; Certificate of Public Policy)

HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Guglielmo is a partner in the firm's New York office and Chair of the firm's Consumer Practice Group. Mr. Guglielmo currently serves in a leadership capacity in a number of complex class actions, including: ***Forth v. Walgreen Co.***, No. 1:17-cv-02246 (N.D. Ill.) (lead counsel, $100 million settlement on behalf of nationwide class of consumers and third-party payers alleging overcharges for prescription drugs); ***Lopez v. Apple Inc.***, No. 4:19-cv-04577 (N.D. Cal.) ($95 million settlement on behalf of class of consumers and their minor children alleging privacy violations by Apple through its Siri application); ***In re Google Assistant Privacy Litigation***, No. 5:19-cv-04286 (N.D. Cal.) (co-lead counsel, $68 million settlement on behalf of consumers alleging privacy violations whereby Google Assistant records and discloses their private, confidential communications without consent); ***In re Consumer Vehicle Driving Data Tracking Litigation***, No. 1:24-MD-3115 (N.D. Ga.) (co-lead counsel, class action on behalf of consumers alleging that their driving data was unlawfully collected); ***In re MAPFRE Data Disclosure Litig.***, No. 1:23-cv-12059 (D. Mass.) (PSC member; class action alleging MAPFRE voluntarily disclosed millions of consumers' driver's license numbers on its website in violation of the federal Drivers' Privacy Protection Act); ***Gerber v. Twitter, Inc.***, No. 23-cv-00186 (N.D. Cal.) (co-lead counsel, class action on behalf of consumer arising out of data breach of approximately 200 million Twitter users); ***In re Zillow Group, Inc. Session Replay Software Litig.***, No. 22-cv-01282 (W.D. Wash.) (court-appointed co-lead counsel; class action on behalf of users alleging that Zillow and Microsoft engaged in illegal wiretapping of electronic communications on Zillow's website and subpages; ***In re MoveIT Customer Data Security Breach Litigation***, No. 1:23-md-0383 (D. Mass.) (Discovery Committee, claims on behalf of consumers arising out of data breach); ***Barrett v. Apple, Inc.***, No. 20-cv-4812 (N.D. Cal.) (co-lead counsel, $35 million settlement on behalf of consumers who were victims of gift card scams); and ***In re AT&T, Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.***, MDL No. 3114 (N.D. Tex.) (PSC member, preliminary approval of $149 million settlement of data breach case on behalf of customers of AT&T's wireless, internet, satellite, and cables services whose personally identifying information was compromised).

In 2024, Mr. Guglielmo was trial counsel in ***State of Connecticut v. Assured Rx LLC, et al.***, Case No. HHD-CV18-6101282-S (Connecticut Superior Court, Harford District) and secured a landmark trial verdict of $39 million dollars after a two week trial on behalf of the State of Connecticut arising out of a kickback scheme perpetrated by Assured Rx in violation of the Connecticut False Claims Act.

Mr. Guglielmo was also co-lead and trial counsel in ***In Re: Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litigation***, No. 3:15-md-2626 (M.D. Fla.), where settlements in excess of $118 million were obtained on behalf of a class of contact lens purchasers alleging violations of the antitrust laws. Mr. Guglielmo was also actively involved in ***In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation***, No. 1:13-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.), where Scott+Scott was co-lead counsel and obtained settlements against 16 of the largest financial institutions totaling in excess of $2.3 billion. Mr. Guglielmo was recognized for his efforts representing New York University in obtaining a monumental temporary restraining order seeking the recovery of over $200 million from a Bernard Madoff feeder fund. Specifically, in approving the settlement, New York State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Lowe III stated, "Scott+Scott has demonstrated a remarkable grasp and handling of the extraordinarily complex matters in this case. The extremely professional and thorough means by which NYU's counsel has litigated this matter has not been overlooked by this Court."

In the data breach and privacy arena, Mr. Guglielmo has achieved significant victories and obtained numerous settlements for his clients, including: ***In re Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation***, No. 1:17-md-02800 (N.D. Ga.) (settlement valued at $32.5 million on behalf of financial institutions injured as a result of the 2017 Equifax data breach that exposed the personal and financial information of approximately 150 million U.S. consumers); ***In re The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation***, MDL No. 2583 (N.D. Ga.) ($27.25 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of over 40 million credit and debit card holders); ***First Choice Federal Credit Union v. The Wendy's Company***, No. 16-cv-00506 (W.D. Pa.) ($50 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of over 18.5 million credit and debit card holders); ***In re Yale New Haven Health Services Corp. Data Breach Litig.***, No. 3:25-cv-00609 (D. Conn.) ($18 million settlement of a data breach concerning the compromise of over 5 million individuals' private information); ***In re TikTok, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation***, No. 1:20-cv-04699 (N.D. Ill.) ($92 million settlement on behalf of consumers alleging violations of privacy claims on behalf of consumers); ***In re Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation***, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.) ($59 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions injured by the theft of sensitive payment card information); ***Baker v. ParkMobile, LLC***, No. 1:21-cv-02182 (N.D. Ga.) ($9 million settlement on behalf of consumers injured by data breach); ***In Re: Overby-Seawell Company Customer Data Security Breach Litigation**,* No. 1:23-md-03056 (N.D. Ga.) ($6 million settlement on behalf of class of consumers injured by data breach); ***Veridian Credit Union v. Eddie Bauer LLC***, No. 2:17-CV-00356 (W.D. Wash.) ($9.8 million settlement on behalf of financial institutions arising out of data breach of payment card information); ***Winsouth Credit Union v. Mapco Express Inc.***, No. 3:14-cv-1573 (M.D. Tenn.) (settlement of the largest dollar-per-card recovery on behalf of financial institutions involving data breach of credit and debit card information); ***Arkansas Federal Credit Union v. Hudson's Bay Co.***, No. 1:19-cv-4492 (S.D.N.Y.) (co-lead counsel, $5.1 settlement); and ***Clarke, et al. v. Lemonade, Inc.***, No. 2022LA000308 (Ill. Cir. Ct. DuPage Cnty.) (co-lead counsel, settlement of $6.5 million in cash and injunctive relief arising out of collection of biometric information).

Throughout Mr. Guglielmo's career, he has been one of the principals involved in the litigation and settlement of ***In re Managed Care Litigation***, MDL No. 1334 (S.D. Fla.), which included settlements with Aetna, CIGNA, Prudential, Health Net, Humana, and WellPoint, providing monetary and injunctive benefits exceeding $1 billion and played a leading role and obtained substantial recoveries for his clients, including ***Love v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Ass'n***, No. 03-cv-21296 (S.D. Fla.), which resulted in settlements of approximately $130 million and injunctive benefits valued in excess of $2 billion; ***In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation***, MDL No. 1897 (D.N.J.), settlements in excess of $180 million; ***In re Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation***, MDL No. 2086 (W.D. Mo.), consumer settlements in excess of $40 million; ***Bassman v. Union Pacific Corp.***, No. 97-cv-02819 (N.D. Tex.), $35.5 million securities class action settlement; ***Boilermakers National Annuity Trust Fund v. WaMu Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates***, No. 09-cv-00037 (W.D. Wash.), $26 million securities class action settlement; ***Murr v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.***, No. 13-cv-1091 (E.D. Va.), $7.3 million settlement pending on behalf of class of consumers who were misled into accepting purportedly 0% interest offers; and ***Howerton v. Cargill, Inc.***, No. 13-cv-00336 (D. Haw.), $6.1 million settlement obtained on behalf of class of consumers who purchased Truvia, purported to be deceptively marketed as "all-natural." Mr. Guglielmo was the principle litigator and obtained a significant opinion from the Hawaii Supreme Court in ***Hawaii Medical Association v. Hawaii Medical Service Association***, 113 Hawaii 77 (Haw. 2006), reversing the trial court's dismissal and clarifying rights for consumers under the state's unfair competition law.

Mr. Guglielmo lectures on antitrust law, complex litigation, ethics and electronic discovery and was a member of the Steering Committee of Working Group 1 of the Sedona Conference®(2014-2018), an organization devoted to providing guidance and information concerning issues such as discovery and production issues, and a member of the drafting team responsible for the *Sedona Principles, Third Edition*. Mr. Guglielmo was a drafting team leader for The Sedona Conference, *Commentary on Discovery of Collaboration Platforms Data,* 26 SEDONA CONF. J. 627 (forthcoming 2025). Mr. Guglielmo was a contributing author to *The Federal Judges' Guide to Discovery 4th Ed.* (2025), published by The Electronic Discovery Institute. Mr. Guglielmo was also recognized for his achievements in litigation by his selection to The National Law Journal's "Plaintiffs' Hot List." In 2026, Mr. Guglielmo was recognized by Super L awyers as a top Antitrust lawyer in the New York metro area and was named by Who's Who in Legal Litigation: Leading Practitioner-E-Discovery (2026). Mr. Guglielmo was also named by Lawdragon in 2026 as one of the 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers in Securities, Antitrust and Consumer Litigation.

Mr. Guglielmo is also a member of the following associations: District of Columbia Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, American Association of Justice and American Bar Association.

ANDREW STANKO

PRACTICE EMPHASIS

Andrew Stanko is an associate in the Firm's New York office, specializing in consumer and class action litigation in both federal and state courts.

ADMISSIONS

States of New York and Pennsylvania; U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

EDUCATION

St. John's University School of Law (J.D., 2021); Villanova University (B.A., Economics, 2018)

HIGHLIGHTS

While at St. John's University School of Law, Mr. Stanko served as Notes and Comments Editor for the Journal of Civil Rights and Economic Development and was a Real Estate Fellow with the Mattone Institute for Real Estate Law. Andrew authored articles on antitrust law and economic policy, and he was part of the initial management team for a venture-backed sports technology startup.

Before joining Scott+Scott, Andrew managed labor law cases from inception to resolution. Previously, he was a Commercial and White Collar Litigation Associate at an international law firm, where he worked on matters including cryptocurrency and securities fraud, criminal antitrust investigations, and complex commercial disputes. Andrew also served as a clerk for Justice Thomas Saylor of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania and interned with the Economic Crimes and Civil Rights divisions at the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Outside of the office, Andrew is a marathon runner and enjoys exploring NYC.

KRISTEN ANDERSON

ADMISSIONS

States of California, New York, Illinois, District of Columbia; United States Courts of Appeal: Second Circuit and Ninth Circuit; United States District Court: Southern District of California, Central District of California, Northern District of California, Eastern District of California, Eastern District of New York, Southern District of New York, Northern District of Illinois.

EDUCATION

University of California College of the Law, San Francisco (J.D., 2006); Rosalind Franklin University of Medicine & Science (M.S., Psychology: Clinical Counseling, 2024); St. Louis University (B.A., Philosophy, 2003)

ASSOCIATIONS

- Member of the American Bar Association's Antitrust Section
- Past Vice Chair of the Antitrust Section's Trial Practice Committee
- Past Vice Chair of the Antitrust Section's Books & Treatises Committee

HIGHLIGHTS

Ms. Anderson represents plaintiffs in high stakes, complex litigation in federal and state courts. She has worked on antitrust class action cases recovering over $9 billion. She served as co-lead counsel in ***Klein v. Meta Platforms, Inc.***, No. 20-cv-8570 (N.D. Cal.) and ***In re European Government Bonds Antitrust Litig.***, No. 19-cv-2601 (S.D.N.Y.). Ms. Anderson was a contributing author to the American Bar Association Antitrust Section's Proof of Conspiracy Under Federal Antitrust Laws (3d ed.), Antitrust Discovery Handbook (2d ed.), Joint Venture Handbook (2d ed.), and the 2010 Annual Review of Antitrust Law Developments. In addition, Ms. Anderson served as an editor for Model Jury Instructions in Civil Antitrust Cases (2016 ed.) and numerous other publications. During law school, Ms. Anderson was as an extern at the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, in San Francisco and an extern to Justice Kathryn Mickle Werdegar of the Supreme Court of California. She was also a research assistant to Professor James R. McCall in the areas of antitrust and comparative antitrust law.

Recognized as a Rising Star in the 2014-21 editions of Super Lawyers; and a Super Lawyer in the 2022-2025 editions.

Frequent speaker on women in the law, mental health, and antitrust topics through the American Bar Association, Practicing Law Institute, and other organizations.

Co-author of The Misapplication of Associated General Contractors to Cartwright Act Claims, 23 COMPETITION: J. ANTI. & UNFAIR COMP. L. SEC. ST. B. CAL. 120 (2014).

REPRESENTATIVE CASES

- ***In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litig.***, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.) ($2.3 billion settlement)
- ***In re European Government Bonds Antitrust Litig.***, No. 19-cv-2601 (S.D.N.Y.) ($120 million settlement)
- ***In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litig.***, No. 19-cv-1704 (S.D.N.Y.) ($386.5 million settlement)
- ***Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America, N.A.***, No. 14-cv-7126 (S.D.N.Y.) ($504.5 million settlement)
- ***Axiom Investment Advisors, LLC, by and through its Trustees, Gildor Management LLC v. Barclays Bank PLC***, No. 15-cv-9323 (S.D.N.Y.) ($50 million settlement)
- ***Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC***, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) ($590.5 million settlement)
- ***In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litig.***, MDL No. 1720 (E.D.N.Y.) ($5.54 billion settlement)

SCOTT + SCOTT

+ New York
+ London
+ Amsterdam
+ Berlin
+ Montreal
+ California
+ Connecticut
+ Virginia
+ Ohio
+ Delaware
+ Texas

Scott+Scott + The Helmsley Building + 230 Park Ave., 24th Floor + New York, NY 10169 + United States + www.scott-scott.com